

STRENGTHS

- Students
 - High quality
 - Great work ethic and experiences
 - Understand theory but more importantly application
 - Graduates are leaders in MS industries
- World class research and researchers
 - Reputation
 - Strong research collaborations internally and externally
 - Full scale flight lab capability
 - Long term relationships with industry/agency
- Strong alumni and corporate support

WEAKNESSES

- Faculty Issues
 - Faculty have low salaries and a high teaching load
 - Faculty retention
 - Faculty need a connection to Mississippi to stay
 - Hiring / onboarding process cumbersome, especially for international faculty
 - Spousal hires are difficult
- Research Issues
 - Research areas are too broad (likely to meet the land grant mission definition but also to maintain leadership in engineering—competent at a lot, super strong in very little)
 - Lagging in research directions rather than leading (chasing funding)
 - No redundancy in faculty expertise in some units (due to high student / faculty ratios)
 - Current structure promotes internal competition within and external to the college
 - Significant portfolio of research is housed within centers with little infrastructure to support faculty/departmental contributions
 - Research park design and location (travel is problematic given course and work schedules of faculty, staff and students)
 - Student/faculty access to research infrastructure (processes for approval also problematic)

OPPORTUNITIES

- Education
 - Increase experiential learning, and for engineering this needs to be with industry
 - Grow the innovation focus in the classroom and laboratory
 - Increase focused partnerships (industry, feeder colleges, other institutions, international, etc.)
- Enrollment
 - Grow dual enrollment
 - Grow Ti5
 - Direct admissions into graduate programs
 - Grow non-thesis online MS and PhD programs / enrollment
- Faculty / Staff Development
 - Strategic workload on faculty and staff (more time /space to think / do strategically)
 - Rational staffing (develop depth instead of breadth)
 - More targeted fundraising that supports critical needs (faculty considerations)

THREATS

- External
 - Students desire to achieve technical degrees in less time and with less training
 - Degree creep—are non-engineering degrees fulfilling jobs engineers typically held
 - Decreased perception of the value of higher education
 - Student job placement
 - Increased regulatory compliance requirements (DFARS, IRB, etc.), bureaucratic hurdles
 - Accredited vs non-accredited programs
 - Disconnect between recruitment and placement
- Internal
 - Separation of authority and responsibility
 - Lack of return on investment (faculty hire, research infrastructure, revenue returns to departments/units, etc.)
 - Student access to resources (e.g., research infrastructure)
 - Constant time pressure for everyone
 - Disconnect between recruitment and placement